## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
V.	0 N 4 40 - 00000 FU	
Meliton Lopez-Secundino  Defendant	Case No. 1:10-cr-00220-RJJ	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
Part I	- Findings of Fact	
	sed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of se that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.	
an offense for which a maximum prison terr	n of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.	
any felony that is not a crime of violence bu a minor victim	it involves:	
	arm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .S.C. § 2250	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	ne date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre- person or the community. I further find that defen	esumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another adant has not rebutted that presumption.	
Alterna	ative Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	dant has committed an offense	
for which a maximum prison term of ten yea Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions ce and the safety of the community.	
<ul><li>✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a</li></ul>	ative Findings (B)	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will end	••	
	t of the Reasons for Detention	
	at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing	
<ol> <li>Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to</li> <li>Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be</li> <li>Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention</li> </ol>		
Part III – Direct	tions Regarding Detention	

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 10, 2010	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	